

Exercise 9

(a) We wish to show that

$$\textcircled{1} \cdot \quad P(M_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n, \dots, M_1 = s_1) = P(M_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n) \quad \forall s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$$

$$\textcircled{2} \cdot \quad P(M_{n+1} = i \mid M_n = j) \quad \text{does not depend on } n.$$

$$\text{Note that } M_{n+1} = M_n \quad 1\{X_{n+1} \leq M_n\} + X_{n+1} \quad 1\{X_{n+1} > M_n\} = \begin{cases} M_n, & \text{if } M_n \geq X_{n+1} \\ X_{n+1}, & \text{if } M_n < X_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if $s_{n+1} < s_n$, then both sides of $\textcircled{1}$ evaluate to 0.

if $s_{n+1} = s_n$, then

$$P(M_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n, \dots, M_1 = s_1) = P(M_{n+1} = M_1 \mid M_n = s_n, \dots, M_1 = s_1) = \\ = P(X_{n+1} \leq s_n \mid M_n = s_n, \dots, M_1 = s_1) = P(X_{n+1} \leq s_n)$$

$$X_{n+1} \perp\!\!\!\perp M_1, \dots, M_n$$

$$\text{and } P(M_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n) = P(M_{n+1} = M_1 \mid M_n = s_n) = P(X_{n+1} \leq s_n \mid M_n = s_n) \\ \Rightarrow P(X_{n+1} \leq s_n) = P(X_1 \leq s_n)$$

$$X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp M_1, \dots, M_n$$

finally, if $s_{n+1} > s_n$,

$$P(M_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n, \dots, M_1 = s_1) = P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n, \dots, M_1 = s_1) \\ \stackrel{\perp\!\!\!\perp}{=} P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}) = P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n) \quad \text{which concludes } \textcircled{1}$$

To establish $\textcircled{2}$, just note that $P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}) = P(X_1 = s_{n+1})$

Thus in both the cases $s_{n+1} > s_n$ and $s_{n+1} = s_n$ we get that

$P(M_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid M_n = s_n)$ only depends on s_{n+1}, s_n and not on the time n \square

Thus, $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the transition matrix Q has

$$Q(b, a) = \text{P}(N_{n+1} = a \mid N_n = b) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } b > a \\ \text{P}(X_1 \leq b) = \sum_{i=0}^b \binom{10}{i} 0.3^i 0.7^{10-i}, & \text{if } b = a \\ \text{P}(X_1 < a) = \binom{10}{a} 0.3^a 0.7^{10-a}, & \text{if } b < a \end{cases}$$

Careful with the order! !

(b) $N_{n+1} = N_n + 1I[X_{n+1} = 0] = \begin{cases} N_n & , \text{ if } X_{n+1} > 0 \\ N_n + 1 & , \text{ if } X_{n+1} = 0 \end{cases}$

Thus, if $s_{n+1} \neq s_n$ and $s_{n+1} \neq s_{n+1}$, both sides of (x) vanish.

$$\text{If } s_{n+1} = s_n, \quad \text{P}(N_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid N_n = s_n, \dots, N_1 = s_1) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} > 0 \mid N_n = s_n, \dots, N_1 = s_1)$$

$$= \text{P}(X_{n+1} > 0) = 1 - 0.7^{10}$$

$$\text{P}(N_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid N_n = s_n) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} > 0 \mid N_n = s_n) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} > 0) = 1 - 0.7^{10}$$

$$\text{If } s_{n+1} = s_n + 1, \quad \text{P}(N_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid N_n = s_n, \dots, N_1 = s_1) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} = 0 \mid N_n = s_n, \dots, N_1 = s_1) =$$

$$= \text{P}(X_{n+1} = 0) = 0.7^{10}$$

$$\text{P}(N_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid N_n = s_n) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} = 0 \mid N_n = s_n) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} = 0) = 0.7^{10}$$

Thus (x) holds, and we have $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and the transition matrix Q has

$$Q(b, a) = \text{P}(N_{n+1} = a \mid N_n = b) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } a \notin \{b, b+1\} \\ 1 - 0.7^{10} & , \text{ if } a = b \\ 0.7^{10} & , \text{ if } a = b+1 \end{cases}$$

(d) We can write $Q_{n+1} = (Q_n + 1) \times 1I_{X_{n+1} = 0}$ for $n \geq 1$
 $Q_1 = 1I_{X_1 = 0}$

So, for a seq. s_1, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1} , if $s_i \notin \{s_i, s_i + 1\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ then $\text{P}(\hat{Q} = \tilde{s}^{\hat{s}}) = 0$, so we don't need to establish the Markov property.

If $s_{n+1} \notin \{0, s_n + 1\}$ then both sides of are zero.

If $s_{n+1} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Q_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid Q = \vec{s}) &= P(X_{n+1} = 0 \mid Q = \vec{s}) \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} P(X_{n+1} = 0) \\ P(Q_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid Q_n = s_n) &= P(X_{n+1} = 0 \mid Q_n = s_n) \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \uparrow \end{aligned}$$

If $s_{n+1} = s_n + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Q_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid Q = \vec{s}) &= P(X_{n+1} \neq 0 \mid Q = \vec{s}) \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} P(X_{n+1} \neq 0) \\ P(Q_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid Q_n = s_n) &= P(X_{n+1} \neq 0 \mid Q_n = s_n) \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} \uparrow \end{aligned}$$

It follows that this is a Markov process and that $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Further, the transition matrix is

$$P(Q_{n+1} = j \mid Q_n = i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \notin \{i+1, 0\} \\ P(X_i = 0), & \text{if } j = 0 \\ P(X_i \neq 0), & \text{if } j = i+1 \end{cases}$$

This does not depend on the time n , so it is a Markov chain \square

(c) & (e) These are not Markov processes. This is so because

$$P(P_3 = -5 \mid P_2 = 9, P_1 = 1) \neq P(P_3 = -5 \mid P_2 = 9) \quad (\dagger)$$

and

$$P(S_4 = 2 \mid S_3 = 1, S_2 = 2, S_1 = 1) \neq P(S_4 = 2 \mid S_3 = 1) \quad (\ddagger)$$

Indeed LHS of (\dagger) is

$$\begin{aligned} P(P_3 = -5 \mid P_2 = 9, P_1 = 1) &= P(P_3 = -5 \mid X_1 = 1, X_2 = 10) \\ = P(X_3 = 5 \mid X_1 = 1, X_2 = 10) &\stackrel{?}{=} P(X_3 = 5) = \binom{10}{5} 0.5^5 0.5^5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{RHS of } (\dagger) \text{ is } P(P_3 = -5 \mid P_2 = 9) = P(P_3 = -5 \mid \begin{array}{l} X_2 = 10, X_1 = 1 \text{ or} \\ X_2 = 9, X_1 = 0 \end{array})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\overline{P}(X_3 - X_2 = -5 \mid X_2 = 10, X_1 = 1 \text{ or } X_2 = 9, X_1 = 0)}{\overline{P}(X_2 = 10, X_1 = 1 \text{ or } X_2 = 9, X_1 = 0)} = \frac{\overline{P}(X_3 = 5, X_2 = 10, X_1 = 1) + \overline{P}(X_3 = 4, X_2 = 9, X_1 = 0)}{\overline{P}(X_2 = 10, X_1 = 1) + \overline{P}(X_2 = 9, X_1 = 0)} \\
& = \frac{\binom{10}{5} \binom{10}{10} \binom{10}{0} 0.3^{5+10+1} 0.7^{5+0+9} + \binom{10}{4} \binom{10}{9} \binom{10}{0} 0.3^{4+9+0} 0.7^{6+1+10}}{\binom{10}{10} \binom{10}{10} 0.3^{10+1} 0.7^{0+9} + \binom{10}{9} \binom{10}{0} 0.3^{9+0} 0.7^{1+11}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\downarrow = \binom{10}{5} \frac{0.3^{16} 0.7^{14} + 0.3^{12} 0.7^{17} \frac{5}{6}}{0.3^{19} 0.7^9 + 0.3^9 0.7^{11}} = \binom{10}{5} \frac{0.3^3 + 0.7^3 \cdot \frac{5}{6}}{0.3^3 + 0.7^2} \cdot 0.3^4 \cdot 0.7^5$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Thus, } \frac{\text{LHS of } (t)}{\text{RHS of } (t)} &= \frac{\binom{10}{5} 0.3^3 0.7^5 (0.3^2 + 0.7^2)}{\binom{10}{5} 0.3^3 0.7^5 (0.3^3 + 0.7^2 \frac{5}{6})} = \frac{0.3 (0.3^2 + 0.7^2)}{0.3^3 + 0.7^2 \frac{5}{6}} \\
&= \frac{0.3^3 + 0.7^2 \cdot 0.3}{0.3^3 + 0.7^2 \frac{5}{6}} \neq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Now to establish (tt) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS}(tt) &= \overline{P}(S_4 = 2 \mid S_3 = 1, S_2 = 2, S_1 = 1) = \overline{P}(X_4 = X_3 \mid X_3 \neq X_2 = X_1) = \frac{\overline{P}(X_4 = X_3 \neq X_2 = X_1)}{\overline{P}(X_3 \neq X_2 = X_1)} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} \overline{P}(X_3 = X_1 = i, X_2 = X_4 = j)}{\sum_{i \neq j} \overline{P}(X_3 = i, X_2 = X_4 = j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{10} \sum_{j=0, j \neq i}^{10} \binom{10}{i}^2 \binom{10}{j}^2 0.3^{2i+2j} 0.7^{20-2i-2j}}{\sum_{i=0}^{10} \sum_{j=0, j \neq i}^{10} \binom{10}{i} \binom{10}{j}^2 0.3^{i+2j} 0.7^{20-i-2j}} =: c
\end{aligned}$$

$\Downarrow \text{ and } X_i \sim \text{Bin}(10, 0.3)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS}(tt) &= \overline{P}(S_4 = 2 \mid S_3 = 1) = \frac{\overline{P}(X_4 = X_3 \neq X_2)}{\overline{P}(X_3 \neq X_2)} = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} \overline{P}(X_4 = X_3 = i, X_2 = j)}{\sum_{i \neq j} \overline{P}(X_3 = i, X_2 = j)} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{10} \sum_{j=0, i \neq j}^{10} \binom{10}{i}^2 \binom{10}{j} 0.3^{i+j} 0.7^{20-2i-j}}{\sum_{i=0}^{10} \sum_{j=0, i \neq j}^{10} \binom{10}{i} \binom{10}{j} 0.3^{i+j} 0.7^{20-i-j}} =: b
\end{aligned}$$

In this way,

$$\text{LHS}(tt) = c/b$$

$$\text{RHS}(tt) = b/a$$

```

In[1]:= a = Sum[If[i == j, 0, Binomial[10, i] Binomial[10, j] (3/10)^(i+j) (7/10)^(20-i-j)], {i, 0, 10}, {j, 0, 10}];
b =
Sum[If[i == j, 0, Binomial[10, i]^2 Binomial[10, j] (3/10)^(2i+j) (7/10)^(30-2i-j)], {i, 0, 10}, {j, 0, 10}];
c = Sum[If[i == j, 0, Binomial[10, i]^2 Binomial[10, j]^2 (3/10)^(2i+2j) (7/10)^(40-2i-2j)], {i, 0, 10}, {j, 0, 10}];
N[a/b]
N[c/b]

```

Out[1]:= 0.186622

Out[2]:= 0.181764

Computing w/ the help of a computer we get that these values are different \square

Exercise 2 We show that both processes are Markov processes but not Markov chains. Indeed, it is easy to see that the Markov property only needs to be established whenever $s_{i+1} \in \{s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{i+n+2}\}$, $i < n$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P}(s_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid \vec{s} = \vec{s}) &= \text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} - s_n \mid \vec{s} = \vec{s}) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} - s_n) \\ &\stackrel{\text{II}}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1}, & \text{if } s_{n+1} \in \{s_{n+1}, \dots, s_{n+n+2}\} \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \\ \text{P}(s_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid s_n = s_n) &= \text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} - s_n \mid s_n = s_n) \\ &= \text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} - s_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the transition matrix of $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is

$$Q^*(i, j) = \text{P}(s_{n+1} = j \mid s_n = i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1}, & \text{if } j-i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

This depends on n (for instance $Q^*(2, 1) = \frac{1}{n+1}$), so this is not a Markov chain.

For $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, the Markov property is easily established

$$\text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid \vec{x} = \vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{II}}{=} \text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}) \stackrel{\text{II}}{=} \text{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid X_n = s_n)$$

And the transition matrix is, then, $Q^*(i, j) = \text{P}(X_{n+1} = j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1}, & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$ which is time dependent, so this is not a Markov chain.

Exercise 3 We wish to show the Markov property on $\{X_{n+\tau}\}_{n \geq 1}$.

Fix n and s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} .

Case 1: there is no i s.t. $s_i \in E$, $i \leq n$. (Note: s_{n+1} may be in E)

$$\text{Then } \text{P}(X_{n+1+\tau} = s_{n+1} \mid X_{i+\tau} = s_i, i=1, \dots, n) = \frac{\text{P}(X_{n+1+\tau} = s_{n+1}, X_{i+\tau} = s_i, i=1, \dots, n)}{\text{P}(X_{i+\tau} = s_i, i=1, \dots, n)}$$

$$= \frac{\overline{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}, X_i = s_i : i=1, \dots, n)}{\overline{P}(X_i = s_i : i=1, \dots, n)} = \overline{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid X_i = s_i : i=1, \dots, n) =$$

because we have that $\{X_{n+1} = s_n\} \subseteq \{T \geq n+1\}$, since $s_n \notin E$

because $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a Markov Chain

$$\downarrow = \overline{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid X_n = s_n) =$$

Rem: this is only true in case 1!

$$\text{On the other hand, because } \{X_{n+1} = s_n\} \subseteq \{T \geq n+1\},$$

$$\overline{P}(X_{n+1, \text{AT}} = s_{n+1} \mid X_{n, \text{AT}} = s_n) = \frac{\overline{P}(X_{n+1, \text{AT}} = s_{n+1}, X_{n, \text{AT}} = s_n)}{\overline{P}(X_{n, \text{AT}} = s_n)} = \frac{\overline{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}, X_n = s_n)}{\overline{P}(X_n = s_n)}$$

$$= \overline{P}(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid X_n = s_n)$$

Case 2: There is some i s.t. $s_i \in E$, for $i \leq n$. Let I be the smallest $i \leq n$ s.t. $s_I \in E$. Then $\{X_{T \wedge i} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \{T = I\}$ and thus

$$\overline{P}(X_{T \wedge i} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n) = 0 \text{ whenever } s_n = s_{n-1} = \dots = s_I \text{ is false.}$$

So to establish the Markov property we need only to consider the case where $s_n = s_{n-1} = \dots = s_{I+1} = s_I$. There, we have two cases

Case 2.1: $s_{n+1} = s_n$

$$\text{Then } \overline{P}(X_{n+1, \text{AT}} = s_{n+1} \mid X_{i, \text{AT}} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n) =$$

$$= \frac{\overline{P}(X_{n+1, \text{AT}} = s_{n+1}, X_{i, \text{AT}} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n)}{\overline{P}(X_{i, \text{AT}} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n)} = \frac{\overline{P}(X_i = s_i \text{ for } i \leq I)}{\overline{P}(X_i = s_i \text{ for } i \leq I)} = 1$$

Case 2.2: $s_{n+1} \neq s_n$. Then

$$\overline{P}(X_{n+1, \text{AT}} = s_{n+1} \mid X_{i, \text{AT}} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n) =$$

$$= \frac{\overline{P}(X_{n+1, \text{AT}} = s_{n+1}, X_{i, \text{AT}} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n)}{\overline{P}(X_{i, \text{AT}} = s_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n)} = \frac{0}{\overline{P}(X_i = s_i \text{ for } i \leq I)} = 0 \quad \square$$

Exercise 4 Wlog, $i > j$. There are five cases to consider

(i) If $2 \mid i, j$, then $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j$ by def.

(ii) If $i-j \geq 3$, then $i-1 > j+1$, thus

X_i is $\sigma(X_{2n}, \text{ for } 2n \geq i-1)$ -measurable

X_j is $\sigma(X_{2n}, \text{ for } 2n < i-1)$ -measurable

$\hookrightarrow X_i, X_j$ are indep

(iii) If $i=j+2$, both odd, then $X_j = X_{i-3} \cdot X_{i-1}$; $X_i = X_{i-2} X_{i+1}$.

Recall that $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$ if $P(X \in A, Y \in B) = P(X \in A) P(Y \in B)$ \oplus
for any Borel-measurable sets A, B .

We will show directly that $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j$.

Because $X_i, X_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ it suffices to study $A, B \subseteq \{\pm 1\}$. Note that whenever A or B are \emptyset or $\{\pm 1\}$, the claim is trivial. The remaining probabilities can be directly computed to give $\frac{1}{4}$. That is for any $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{\pm 1\}$ we have

$$*, \quad P(X_i = \varepsilon, X_j = \delta) = \frac{1}{4} = P(X_i = \varepsilon) \cdot P(X_j = \delta)$$

(iv) If $i=j+1$, with $j=2n$, we can also show directly that $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j$ by way of \oplus . Specifically $*_1$ holds

(v) If $i=j+1$ with $i=2n$, we can also show directly that $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j$ by way of \oplus . Specifically $*_1$ holds

(b) From (a), $P(X_{n+1} = \varepsilon | X_n = \delta) = P(X_{n+1} = \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} *_2$

On the other hand, because $X_{2n+1} = X_{2n} X_{2n+2}$, we have that

$$X_{2n} \cdot X_{2n+1} \cdot X_{2n+2} = X_{2n}^2 \cdot X_{2n+2}^2 = 1. \quad *_3$$

In particular, the value of X_{2n}, X_{2n+1} determines X_{2n+2} .

So this is not a Markov chain. Specifically, the Markov property

is not fulfilled for $n=0$, $s_0 = s_1 = 1, s_2 = -1 \Leftrightarrow$

$$P(X_2 = -1 \mid X_1 = 1, X_0 = 1) = 1 \quad \text{from } \pi_2$$

$$P(X_2 = -1 \mid X_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{from } \pi_2 \quad \square$$

